

Persistence, dissipation and health risk assessment of combi-product profenofos and cypermethrin in/on sapota under sub-tropical agro-climatic conditions in India

Vanrajsinh SOLANKI¹, Susheel SINGH^{1*}, Rohan KANSARA¹,
Kelvin GANDHI¹, Nitisha PATEL¹, Timur AHLAWAT²

¹Navsari Agricultural University, Food Quality Testing Laboratory, Navsari 396450, Gujarat, India; vnrj26@gmail.com; susheelsingh@nau.in (*corresponding author); rohankansara1988@gmail.com; kellingandhi89@gmail.com; nitishapatel210@gmail.com

²Navsari Agricultural University, National Agricultural Higher Education Project (NAHEP), Centre for Advanced Agricultural Science and Technology (CAAST), Navsari 396450, Gujarat, India; tahlawat4@nau.in

Abstract

Sapota, a prominent and economically important fruit crop of India is susceptible to several species of insect and mite pests. Several pesticides formulations are used to control the pest damage in sapota. The present investigation was aimed to study the dissipation and persistence behaviour of combi-product profenofos 40% + cypermethrin 4% (44EC) at the standard dose (SD) (1162 g a.i. ha⁻¹+106 g a.i. ha⁻¹) and double to standard dose (2×SD) (2324 g a.i. ha⁻¹+212 g a.i. ha⁻¹) in/on sapota under tropical agro-climatic conditions of South Gujarat in India. Prior to quantitative analysis of pesticide residue on Gas chromatography with electron captured detector (GC-ECD), the modified Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged and Safe (QuEChERS) based method was validated on its accuracy, precision, linearity and sensitivity. Profenofos persisted in sapota up to 30 days with the half-lives of 5.65 and 7.34 days at SD and 2×SD, respectively. Cypermethrin dissipated at a rapid pace and was below quantitation limit (BQL) on 7 days at either dose of application; the half-life values recorded were 3.27 and 4.43 days at SD and 2×SD, respectively. This is the first case study that reflects 14 days as a waiting period after the last spray of combi-product (44EC) at standard dose facilitates the residue-free sapota fruits. Further, the health risk index (HRI<1) and Health Index (HI %< 100%) indicates that the application of combi-product profenofos 40% + cypermethrin 4% (44EC) at standard dose could not pose any health risk to Indian consumers.

Keywords: cypermethrin; dissipation; health risk assessment; profenofos; sapota

Introduction

Sapota (*Manilkara achras* Mill.) is one of the important tropical fruit crops cultivated in all agro-ecological zones of India (Shirol et al., 2009; Ghosh, 2014). Globally, India is considered to be the major producer of sapota with an area of about 99 thousand ha with a production of 1236 thousand metric tonnes (National Horticultural Board, 2018). In India, Sapota is widely cultivated with thousand tonnes production

Received: 16 Jul 2021. Received in revised form: 27 Jul 2021. Accepted: 09 Aug 2021. Published online: 18 Aug 2021.

From Volume 13, Issue 1, 2021, Notulae Scientia Biologicae journal uses article numbers in place of the traditional method of continuous pagination through the volume. The journal will continue to appear quarterly, as before, with four annual numbers.

in top states i.e., Gujarat (326.36), Karnataka (315.38), Tamil Nadu (169.96), Maharashtra (134.78) and Andhra Pradesh (131.46) during year 2017-18 (National Horticultural Board, 2019).

Conventionally, sapota was considered to be a hardy species that needs little attention of farmers for controlling the pests and diseases. However, this belief is changing due to monoculture of the sapota in recent past in India. Due to the monoculture of the crop, sapota trees are ravaged by several species of insect and mite pests throughout the year because of the monoculture of sapota (Shukla *et al.*, 2011). Sapota is infested by more than 25 insect pests which include bud borer, sapota moth, midrib folder, leaf miner, fruit flies, sucking pests etc. (Butani, 1979; Jhala *et al.*, 1986; Ujagir, R. and Byrne, 2009; Thumar *et al.*, 2015).

Several insecticides are used to control the damage of insects-pests in sapota production in different tropical regions of India. Several pesticide combination products are available in the market and it is choice of the farmers for the control of pest damage in sapota. Combination product (Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 4%) is preferred by the farmers to check the losses caused due to the attack of bud borer and sapota borer. This product provides contact and stomach as well as a knockdown effect over a period of time. Though, the combi-product is invariably used by the farmers in sapota but, its persistence and dissipation behaviour are not available. Therefore, the prime objective of this experiment was to study the behaviour of the pre-mixed product of Profenofos and Cypermethrin in/on sapota and its risk assessment. This foremost report will help the scientific fraternity as well as farmers and consumers to avoid the pesticide residue in sapota.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and reagents

The reference standard of profenofos (purity, 96.9%) and cypermethrin (purity, 99.8%) were procured from Sigma-Aldrich India Ltd., Bangalore (Figure 1). The pre-mix formulation of profenofos + cypermethrin (44EC) was purchased from a local market. Primary secondary amines (PSA) were purchased from Supelco Sigma Aldrich (Germany). HPLC grade reagents and solvents were used for pesticide residue analysis. The stock solution was prepared with *n*-hexane: acetone (9:1, v/v) and then diluted to prepare the intermediate and working standards and then stored at -20 °C, were also protected from light.




Figure 1. Chemical structure of (a) profenofos and (b) cypemethrin

Apparatus

Samples were processed using heavy-duty variable speed homogenizer (SRK Instruments, Gujarat), centrifuge (Eppendorf, Germany) and Turbovap (Caliper life science, PerkinElmer USA). A gas chromatograph (Trace GC Ultra[®]) with ECD detector and TRIPLUS auto-sampler AI 1310 (Thermo Fisher, USA) was used for pesticide residues analysis.

Field experiment

The study was carried out at Regional Horticultural Research Station, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari, Gujarat, India using sapota variety, *kalipatti* under tropical agro-climatic conditions of India during year 2017-18. The farm is located at 20° 55' 18.11" N and 72° 53' 27.47" E at an altitude of about 10 m above mean sea level (MSL) (Figure 2). According to agro-climatic condition, Navsari is situated under South Gujarat heavy rainfall zone-I (Agro-ecological situation-III). Minimum and maximum temperature varies from 17 °C to 22 °C and 31 °C to 36 °C with an average annual rainfall about 1400 -1800mm. The soil of the experimental field was clay in texture having pH 7.5-8.3, EC 0.3-0.6 dS m⁻¹ and organic carbon content of 0.6-0.68%.



Figure 2. Map of the Sapota fruit and soil sampling area

Total eleven rows consist of 10 sapota trees spaced apart (5 m x 5 m) were selected and three rows were allotted for each treatment and one buffer row was left in between two treatments to check the effect of spray drift. The treatments applied were control (spray of water), standard dose SD e.g. 1268 g a.i./ha⁻¹ (Profenofos 1162 + cypermethrin 106 g a.i./ha) and double to the standard dose (2×SD) e.g. 2536 g a.i./ha⁻¹ (Profenofos 2324+ Cypermethrin 212 g a.i./ha) (Suryavanshi and Patel, 2009). The spray volume was taken 15 liters per tree and applied with the help of Maruti Foot Sprayer on the second fortnight of February when the major flush of fruits was harvested. The sapota fruits were collected on 0 (2 h), 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 20, 30 and 45 days after the application of pesticides. Approximately 3 to 4 fruits (about 200 g) were harvested from each tree and composited to get 2 kg samples from each treatment and carried to the laboratory for processing to determine the persistence of profenofos and cypermethrin residue in/on sapota fruit.

Sample processing

The unripe medium sized sapota fruits (24 units) were collected, rinsed, dried and homogenized for the residue analysis of profenofos and cypermethrin. The soil samples were collected on 0 and 30 days after the last application. Approximately 2 kg of soil samples were collected from 10 sampling sites from each treatment with standard sampling procedure. Prior to analysis, soil samples were mixed thoroughly, air dried milled and passed through 2 mm sieve and then subjected to pesticide residues analysis. Extraction and clean-up of profenofos and cypermethrin residues in sapota fruits and soil were carried out according to QuEChERS method with certain modifications (Sharma, 2013; Solanki *et al.*, 2019).

Sample extraction and cleanup procedure

(a) *Sapota*: Homogenized sapota samples (15 ± 0.1 g) were extracted in 50 mL capacity polypropylene centrifuge tube with 15 mL acetonitrile having 1% of acetic acid and put it into deep freeze for 20 minutes.

Subsequently, 6.0 g of magnesium sulphate (anhydrous) and 1.5 g sodium acetate (anhydrous) were added and mixed properly using vortex mixture for 1 minute. After mixing tubes were centrifuged at 2205 rcf for 2 minutes. For clean-up, 6 mL supernatant transferred to 15 mL capacity polypropylene centrifuge tube containing 300 mg of PSA and 900 mg of MgSO₄. The tubes were mixed well and centrifuged at 1125 rcf for 2 minutes. Following this, an aliquot of 2 mL was drawn into glass tube and subsequently evaporated to dryness at 45 °C under a gentle stream of nitrogen with Calipar life science make TurboVap[®]. Finally, the volume of the samples was reconstituted to 2.0 mL with *n*-hexane: acetone (v/v, 9:1) for gas chromatographic analysis.

(b) *Soil*: Representative soil sample (10±0.1g) was extracted with 20 mL acetonitrile in 50 mL capacity polypropylene centrifuge tube. The adsorbents MgSO₄ (4.0 g) and NaCl (1.0 g) were added and followed by vigorous shaking on vortex mixture for 1 minute and centrifugation at 2205 rcf for 2 minutes. Then, an aliquot of 10 mL was transferred to a 15 mL centrifuge tube containing MgSO₄ (1.5 g) and PSA (0.25 g) followed by centrifugation at 1125 rcf for 2 minutes. Subsequently, an aliquot of 4 mL was transferred to the test tube and evaporated to dryness. Finally, the volume was made up to 2 mL using *n*-hexane: acetone (9:1, v/v) and quantitative analysis were performed on GC-ECD.

Instrumental parameters

GC-ECD analysis

A gas chromatograph (TRACE GC ULTRA[®]) equipped with electron capture detector (ECD) and TRIPLUS auto-sampler was used for quantitative analysis of profenofos and cypermethrin from sapota and soil sample. The chromatographic separation was performed on the capillary column (AB-5, 30m × 0.25mm i.d., 0.25 µm FT). The 1.0 µL sample was injected under splitless mode into GC. Ultra-pure helium (99.999%) gas was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.0 ml min⁻¹. The oven temperature was initially maintained at 220 °C for 4 minutes and programmed with the ramp of 15 °C min⁻¹ to attain the final temperature of 290 °C which was maintained for 4 minutes. Injector and detector temperatures were maintained at 230 °C and 300 °C, respectively. The reference current of ECD was 1.0 nA. Under optimized parameters, the retention time (RT) of profenofos was 4.62 minute and cypermethrin was 8.43 minute which is shown in the chromatograms (Figure 3). The data were processed using the Xcalibur software (Thermo Scientific).

Analytical method validation

The method was performed and validated as per SANTE guidelines (SANTE, 2017) by optimizing various parameters. The linearity of profenofos and cypermethrin in solvent (*n*-hexane: acetone; 9:1, v/v) were obtained using five calibration standards ranging from 0.01-0.1 mg/kg (0.01, 0.025, 0.05, 0.075 and 0.100 mg/kg). Five repetitive responses on GC-ECD for profenofos and cypermethrin at different concentrations were evaluated to establish the limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantification (LOQ) of the analytical method. LOD and LOQ were calculated using formula $LOD (mg/kg) = (\text{mean of standard deviation}/\text{Slope}) \times 3$ and $LOQ (mg/kg) = (\text{mean of standard deviation}/\text{Slope}) \times 10$ (Patil *et al.* 2018). The accuracy and precision were observed through percent recovery and relative standard deviation (RSD) study for both insecticides. Three concentration levels of fortification for profenofos and cypermethrin (0.025 0.05 and 0.1 mg/kg) in sapota fruit and soil were used with seven replications (n=7).

Health risk index (HRI)

The residues obtained from sapota fruits subjected to spray of combi-product (Profenofos and cypermethrin) at standard dose as mentioned above collected on 0-20 days were used to work out the different Health risk indices (HRI). The estimated daily intake (EDI) was compared with the acceptable daily intake (ADI) (Wang *et al.*, 2011). Estimated Daily Intake (EDI) is the ratio of the product of pesticide concentration (mg/kg) and the food consumption rate (kg/day) to the body weight of different groups of Indian population (e.g. 60 kg for Man and 55 kg for Woman). The quantity of average daily fruit intake considered for the calculation of EDI was 0.1 kg/person/day for adults (Anonymous, 2011). Health risk index (HRI) was

calculated using the equation: Health risk Index (HRI) = EDI/ADI (European Food Safety Authority, 2007), where EDI is estimated daily intake and ADI is acceptable daily intake. ADI was considered 0.02 mg/ kgbw (body weight) for cypermethrin and 0.03 mg/kg bw for Profenofos (CODEX alimentarius, 2020). Health risk index more than 1 is considered as unsafe for human health (Darko and Akoto, 2008; Malhat *et al.*, 2014) and Health Index (HI) <100% represents an acceptable risk to human health (Malhat *et al.*, 2017; Qin *et al.*, 2020).

Statistical analysis

The dissipation of profenofos and cypermethrin in sapota was calculated by using Single First Order dissipation model using the equation $C_t = C_0 e^{-kt}$, Where C_t is insecticide concentration at time t , C_0 is initial concentration, k is the rate constant. The residues data were statistically analysed according to Hoskins (1961) to compute the residual half-life (DT-50) and pre-harvest interval (PHI) e.g. waiting period.

Results and Discussion

Method verification

GC-ECD was used to obtain the linear dynamic range of the profenofos and cypermethrin by plotting graph between detector's response v/s concentration. The linear dynamic range were recorded for both insecticides lie between 0.01 and 1.0 mg/kg with acceptable R^2 values (≥ 0.99) (Table 1). Furthermore, recovery study for sapota fruit and soil were carried out at 0.025, 0.05, and 0.1 mg/kg levels taking five replications for each level. The profenofos recovery was in the range of 80.20-83.58% in sapota and 78.22-85.90% in soil at different fortification levels whereas these were recorded in the range of 88.74-104.22% and 91.12-99.47% in sapota fruit and soil respectively for cypermethrin (Table 1). The maximum residue limit (MRL) values of profenofos and cypermethrin in sapota fruit are used 0.07 and 0.5 mg/kg, respectively (CODEX alimentarius, 2020) for calculation of waiting period and risk assessment parameters. The respective LOD values of profenofos and cypermethrin in Sapota fruit obtained were 0.010 and 0.002 mg/kg. While the LOQ values found were 0.030 and 0.006 mg/kg, respectively (Table 1). The observed LOQ values of both pesticides were lower than MRL values fixed for sapota which specifies that the instrument was quite sensitive to accept the internationally acceptable standards of SANTE 2017 (Table 1). For accuracy, percent recoveries of profenofos and cypermethrin were ranged 80.20-83.58% and 88.74-104.22% in sapota fruit as well as 78.22-85.90% and 91.12-99.47% in soil, respectively. The respective RSDs of profenofos and cypermethrin were ranged from 4.12 to 9.85% and 6.98-11.04% in sapota fruit and soil (Table 1).

Table 1 Method validation parameters of profenofos and cypermethrin residues in sapota fruit and soil

Sr No.	Parameters	Particular	Profenofos		Cypermethrin		
1	Linearity (n=5)	Calibration concentration range	0.01-0.1 mg/kg		0.01-0.1 mg/kg		
		Regression equation	$y = 66784x - 835.5$		$y = 58519x - 2395$		
		R^2 { $R^2 \geq 0.99$ }	0.99		0.99		
2	Sensitivity (n=5)	LOD (mg/kg)	0.010		0.002		
		LOQ (mg/kg)	0.030		0.006		
3	Accuracy (n=7)	Percent Recovery [70-120%]	Fortified level (mg/kg)	Sapota fruit (%)	Soil (%)	Sapota fruit (%)	Soil (%)
			0.025	83.11±4.59	80.96±4.47	104.22±9.24	98.21±13.24
			0.05	80.20±2.27	78.22±2.26	98.41±7.65	99.47±10.67
			0.1	83.58±7.32	85.90±9.30	88.74±3.98	91.12±3.24
4	Precision (n=7)	RSD [≤ 20%]	Fortified level (mg/kg)	Sapota fruit (%)	Soil (%)	Sapota fruit (%)	Soil (%)
			0.025	6.86	9.85	8.78	6.98
			0.05	5.73	6.53	7.16	9.40
			0.1	4.12	7.27	11.04	10.03

R^2 : correlation coefficient; LOQ: Limit of Quantitation; LOD: Limit of detection; \pm SD: Standard deviation; RSD: Relative standard deviation; Values given in parenthesis { } and [] is the standard acceptance criteria as per SANTE, 2017

Dissipation study

The residues of profenofos in sapota fruit obtained on 0 days were 0.268 and 0.471 mg/kg at standard and double to the standard dose, respectively (Table 2 and Figure 3). In case of control (only spray of water), both pesticides residues were not detected (Figure 3). Profenofos residues declines gradually and were BQL on the 30th day at the SD, while these were 0.023 mg/kg at 2×SD. The half-life value worked out for profenofos in sapota were 5.65 and 7.34 days and the waiting period was 13.82 and 22.24 days for SD and 2×SD, respectively (Table 2).

Although, the residues of cypermethrin were dissipated more rapidly than profenofos yet these were persisted up to 7 days. In sapota fruit, the residues of cypermethrin obtained on 0 day were 0.014 and 0.019 mg/kg at SD and 2×SD, respectively (Table 2). Cypermethrin residues were BQL on 10th day after last application for both doses. The half-life value worked out for cypermethrin residues in sapota fruit were 3.27 and 4.43 days for SD and 2×SD, respectively. The waiting period worked out for cypermethrin was 5.16 days at SD and 8.42 days at 2×SD (Table 2). Similar work has been done by Solanki *et al.* (2019) using combi-product of chlorpyrifos and cypermethrin in sapota and have reported waiting period of 4 to 5 days used as combi-product. Likewise, Gupta *et al.* (2011) have studied the persistence of the chlorpyrifos, profenofos and cypermethrin in tomato using pre-mix formulation (Chlorpyrifos 50% + Cypermethrin 5%) and (Profenofos 40% + Cypermethrin 5%) and found that in pre-mix formulation the residues of profenofos persisted up to 7-15 days while the residues of cypermethrin and chlorpyrifos persist up to 0-7 days only.

Table 2 Residues and percent dissipation of profenofos and cypermethrin in/on sapota fruit and soil (n=3)

Days after application	Average residues (mg/kg) in sapota fruit				
	Control	Profenofos		Cypermethrin	
		1162 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ (SD) ^a	2324 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ (2×SD) ^b	106 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ (SD) ^a	212 g a.i. ha ⁻¹ (2×SD) ^b
0 (2 h)	ND	0.268 (0.00)	0.471 (0.00)	0.014 (0.00)	0.019 (0.00)
1	ND	0.244 (8.94)	0.455 (3.49)	0.012 (13.48)	0.015 (23.58)
3	ND	0.212 (20.74)	0.259 (44.96)	0.009 (37.08)	0.013 (33.80)
5	ND	0.170 (36.53)	0.232 (50.84)	0.006 (65.49)	0.009 (50.14)
7	ND	0.109 (59.47)	0.179 (61.95)	BQL	0.006 (70.99)
10	ND	0.058 (78.42)	0.143 (69.70)	BQL	BQL
20	ND	0.027 (90.07)	0.075 (84.02)	BQL	BQL
30	ND	BQL	0.023 (95.06)	BQL	BQL
45	ND	BQL	BQL	BQL	BQL
Dissipation equation	-	y=-0.053x+1.435	y=-0.040x+1.608	y=-0.09x+1.173	y=-0.067x+1.271
Correlation coefficient (R ²)	-	0.96	0.99	0.97	0.98
Half-life (days)	-	5.65	7.34	3.27	4.43
Waiting period (days)	-	13.82	22.24	5.16	8.42
Average residues (mg/kg) in Soil					
0 (2 h)	ND	0.080	0.230	BQL	BQL
30	ND	BQL	BQL	BQL	BQL
Harvest time	ND	BQL	BQL	BQL	BQL

a: Standard dose; b: Double to the Standard dose; BQL: Below quantitation limit (<LOQ); Values given in parenthesis () represents percent degradation of pesticide residues over residues obtained on 0 day (2 hrs after application); ND: Not Detected

The profenofos residues recovered in soil samples after insecticide application on 0 days was 0.08 and 0.23 mg/kg for SD and 2×SD whereas on 30th day profenofos residues was BQL. Cypermethrin residues were found below the detection level on first and last day of the sampling for both the applied doses (Table 2). Mukherjee *et al.* (2012) has also reported that the residues of the profenofos persisted up to 7 days in the soil while the residues of chlorpyrifos and cypermethrin were not even detected on 0 day from the soil. More or less similar half-life value of profenofos in mulberry leaves was reported by Paramasivam *et al.* (2012). In the present study, the effective waiting period worked out for the combi-product is slightly higher e.g. 14 days than the individual insecticide.




Figure 3. GC-chromatogram of profenofos and cypermethrin on GC-ECD (a) at standard 0.05 mg/kg (b) in control and (c) in sapota fruit sample at standard dose (SD)

Health risk assessment analysis

Generally, ripened sapota fruit is preferred by consumers but the unripe sapota fruits are also used to prepare the wafers and chips etc. Pesticides are a major part among different chemicals applied on these food products. Injudicious use of pesticides on food crops leads to unwanted residues, which may constitute barriers to exporters and domestic consumptions when they exceed maximum residue limit (MRL). Therefore, health risk estimations were studied based on pesticide residues detected in sapota fruits.

The health risk index (HRI) was estimated by dividing the EDI ($\text{mg kg}^{-1}/\text{day}$) by their corresponding values of acceptable daily intakes (ADI) for agricultural and veterinary chemicals (Anonymous, 2005). The average values of individual body weights involving different age groups in India are listed according to the official dietary guideline for Indians (National Institute of Nutrition, 2011). Cumulative estimated health risk index values as well as Health Index (%) of profenofos and cypermethrin residues recorded at SD and $2\times\text{SD}$ are presented in Table 3. Thus, it reflects that under the tropical agro-climatic conditions from 0 days after spray at both doses, the HRI values are lower than 1 and HI% are less than 100%. It indicates that the combi-product will not cause any adverse effect after consumption of sapota fruits. Therefore, the consumption of sapota fruits laced with combi-product of profenofos and cypermethrin at standard dose with an observed waiting period of 14 days are safer as their HRI values are lower than 1.

Table 3 Health risk assessment of pre-mix formulation of profenofos and cypermethrin residues in sapota fruit for different groups of Indian consumers

Group	Particulars with age	Food consumption (g/day)	Body weight (kg)	Health risk assessment							
				SD				$2\times\text{SD}$			
				P ^a	C ^b	(P + C) ^c		P ^a	C ^b	(P + C) ^c	
				HRI ^d	HRI ^d	HRI ^d	%H ^e	HRI ^d	HRI ^d	HRI ^d	%HI ^e
Children	1-3 years	30	12.90	0.07	0.00	0.07	7.47	0.12	0.01	0.13	12.91
	4-6 years	30	18.00	0.05	0.00	0.05	5.35	0.09	0.00	0.09	9.25
	7-9 years	60	25.10	0.04	0.00	0.04	3.84	0.06	0.00	0.07	6.63
Boys	10-12 years	60	34.30	0.03	0.00	0.03	2.81	0.05	0.00	0.05	4.85
Girls	10-12 years	60	35.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	2.75	0.04	0.00	0.04	4.76
Boys	13-15 years	75	47.60	0.02	0.00	0.02	2.02	0.03	0.00	0.03	3.50
Girls	13-15 years	60	46.60	0.02	0.00	0.02	2.07	0.04	0.00	0.04	3.57
Boys	16-18 years	90	55.40	0.02	0.00	0.02	1.74	0.03	0.00	0.03	3.01
Girls	16-18 years	75	52.10	0.02	0.00	0.02	1.85	0.03	0.00	0.03	3.20
Man	Sedentary work	75	60.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	1.61	0.03	0.00	0.03	2.78
	Moderate work	90									
	Heavy work	120									
Woman	Sedentary work	60	55.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	1.75	0.03	0.00	0.03	3.03
	Moderate work	75									
	Heavy work	90									

SD: Standard dose; $2\times\text{SD}$: Double to the Standard dose; a: Profenofos; b: Cypermethrin; c: Cumulative (Profenofos+Cypermethrin); d: Health Risk Index; e: Percent Health Index

Conclusions

Profenofos and cypermethrin were moderately persisted in the sapota when applied as a pre-mix formulation profenofos 40% + cypermethrin 4% (44EC). The residue of profenofos in sapota fruits persisted upto 20 days while these were 7 days in cypermethrin at Standard dose. The individual waiting period worked out for profenofos and cypermethrin was 13.82 and 5.16 days at SD. Therefore, it is suggested the observation of 14 days waiting period, when profenofos and cypermethrin applied as combi-product. The findings of the dietary risk assessment study also strengthen those 14 days waiting period is sufficient to nullify the toxic effect

of these pesticides to the consumers as the HRI values were below 1 from 0 day at SD. This implies that spray of combi-product profenofos 40% and cypermethrin 4% at standard dose do not pose any health risk to consumers when 14 days waiting period is observed.

Authors' Contributions

Conceptualization of research (SS and VS); Designing of the experiments (SS); Contribution of experimental materials (TA); Supervision of field/lab experiments and methodology (KG and NP); data collection, analysis and interpretation (VS, KG and RK); Writing - original draft (RK and NP), review and editing manuscript (VS and KG). All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to all the personnel who have directly or indirectly contributed to compilation and preparation of the manuscript. Authors are also thankful to Head of Regional Horticultural Research Station, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari as well as National Agricultural Higher Education Project-Centre for Advanced Agricultural Science and Technology (NAHEP-CAAST) for providing necessary support to perform this study.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest related to this article.

References

- Anonymous (2018). Final estimate of area and production of horticulture crops. National Horticultural Board, Gurgaon, India (2016-2017). [http://nhb.gov.in/statistics/State_Level/2016-17\(Final\).pdf](http://nhb.gov.in/statistics/State_Level/2016-17(Final).pdf)
- Anonymous (2019). Source: National Horticulture Board (NHB). http://apeda.in/agriexchange/India%20Production/India_Productions.aspx?cat=fruit&hscode=1059
- Anonymous (2005). Acceptable daily intakes for agricultural and veterinary chemicals. Australian Government, Office of chemical safety. Department of Health and Ageing pp 1-113.
- Anonymous (2011). Dietary guidelines for Indian - a manual. National Institute of Nutrition, ICMR publication. pp 1-139.
- Butani DK (1979). Insect and Fruits. Periodical Expert Book Agency, Delhi.
- CODEX Alimentarius International Food Standards (2020). <http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius/codex-texts/dbs/pestres/pesticides/en>
- Darko G, Akoto O (2008). Dietary intake of organophosphorus pesticide residues through vegetables from Kumasi, Ghana. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 46(12):3703-6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2008.09.049>
- European Food Safety Authority (2007). The EFSA's 7th scientific colloquium summary report –cumulative risk assessment of pesticides to human health: The Way Forward. Retrieved 2020 June 25 from <http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/supporting/pub/117e.htm>
- Ghosh SN (2014). Tropical and sub-tropical fruit crops: crop improvement and varietal wealth. JAYA Publishing House.
- Gupta S, Gajbhiye VT, Sharma RK, Gupta RK (2011). Dissipation of cypermethrin, chlorpyrifos and profenofos in tomato fruits and soil following application of pre-mix formulations. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 174:337-345. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-010-1461-0>
- Hoskin WM (1961). Mathematical treatment of the rate of loss of pesticide residues. *FAO Plant Protection Bulletin* 9:163-168. <https://doi.org/10.12691/env-2-3-2>

- Jhala RC, Shah AH, Patel CB, Patel SH (1986). Population dynamics of some insect pests of sapota in South Gujarat. Gujarat Agricultural Universities Research Journal 11:69-71.
- Malhat FM, El Sharkawi HM, Loutfy NM, Ahmed MT (2014). Field dissipation and health hazard assessment of Fenhexamid on Egyptian grapes. Toxicological and Environmental Chemistry 96(5):722-729. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02772248.2014.980130>
- Malhat F, Boulange J, Abdelraheem E, Abd Allah O, Abd El-Hamid R, ... Abd El-Salam S (2017). Validation of QuEChERS based method for determination of fenitrothion residues in tomatoes by gas chromatography-flame photometric detector: Decline pattern and risk assessment. Food Chemistry 229:814-819. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.03.017>
- Mukherjee I, Kumar A, Kumar A (2012). Persistence behaviour of combination mix crop protection agents in/on eggplant fruits. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 88:338-343. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-011-0457-y>
- Paramasivam M, Chandrasekaran S, Karthik P, Naik HR, Thangachamy P, Mahalingam CA (2012). Persistence and dissipation of dichlorvos and profenofos on mulberry leaves. Madras Agricultural Journal 99(7-9):583-585.
- Patil VM, Singh S, Patel KG, Patel ZP (2018). Effect of sun drying and grinding on the residues of six insecticides in chili fruits. Pesticide Research Journal 30(2):140-6. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2249-524X.2018.00023.7>
- Qin X, Luo X, Han J, Chen Y, Zhang K, Hu D (2020). Residual determination of pyrethrins in *Lycium barbarum* (goji) by GC-MS/MS and a dietary risk assessment of Chinese goji consumption. Food Additives and Contaminants 37(3):478-487. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2019.1707295>
- Sharma KK (2013). Pesticide residues analysis manual. IARI, New Delhi, pp 92-94.
- Shirol AM, Kanamadi VC, Duragannavar MP, Thammaiah N, Baragimath SM (2009). Studies on quality parameters of sapota cultivars. The Asian Journal of Horticulture 5(3).
- Shukla A, Patel PR (2011). Bionomics of chiku moth, *Nephotyrix eugraphella* Ragonot (Pyrilidae:Lepidoptera) on sapota. Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems 17(1):6-10.
- Solanki VH, Singh S, Gandhi KD, Patel KG, Patel KN (2019). Persistence behaviour of pre-mix formulation of profenophos and cypermethrin in/on sapota fruit. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 8(1):1250-1260. <https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2019.801.132>
- Suryavanshi SS, Patel BR (2009). Evaluation of different insecticides against sapota bud borer, *Anarsia achrasella* Bardley. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 22(3):722-723.
- Thumar Rasiklal K, Borad Parbhatbhai K, Dabhi Manishkumar R, Korat Dhirubhai M (2015). Effect of weather parameters on activity of chiku bud borer, *Anarsia achrasella* Bradley on sapota. African Journal of Agricultural Research 10(11):1192-1196. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2013.6777>
- Ujagir R, Byrne OM (2009). 18 insect pests and their management. The Lentil 282:47-48. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/9781845934873.0282>
- Wang HS, Sthiannopkao S, Du J, Chen Z J, Kim KW, Yasin MSM, Hashim JH, Wong CKC, Wong MH (2011). Daily intake and human risk assessment of organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) based on Cambodian market basket data. Journal of Hazardous Materials 192:1441-1449. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.06.062>



The journal offers free, immediate, and unrestricted access to peer-reviewed research and scholarly work. Users are allowed to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of the articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author.



License - Articles published in *Notulae Scientia Biologica* are Open-Access, distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) License.

© Articles by the authors; SHST, Cluj-Napoca, Romania. The journal allows the author(s) to hold the copyright/to retain publishing rights without restriction.