



### Short Communication

## Evaluation of maize inbreds and their hybrids against turcicum leaf blight, maydis leaf blight and banded leaf and sheath blight under natural epiphytotic conditions

Prashant Sharma\*, Uttam Chandel, Gopal Katna and Amar Singh

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture  
CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Palampur, Himachal Pradesh, India.

\*Corresponding author: pras1609@gmail.com

Manuscript Received: 15.09.2021; Accepted: 25.11.2021

### Abstract

The experimental material consisted of 25 lines, 2 testers, their 50 crosses and two checks viz., Palam Sankar Makka-2 and PSCL 4640 were evaluated in RBD during *Kharif* 2020 against turcicum leaf blight (TLB), maydis leaf blight (MLB) and banded leaf and sheath blight (BLSB) under natural epiphytotic conditions at SAREC, Kangra. Among lines, 14 showed resistant to BLSB and 15 were resistant to TLB. 18 lines were moderately resistant to MLB. Three crosses viz.,  $L_3 \times T_1$ ,  $L_{24} \times T_2$  and  $L_{25} \times T_1$  exhibited resistant towards BLSB, MLB and TLB. The cross combinations can be further evaluated for yield and other characters and released as promising hybrids resistant to TLB, MLB and BLSB.

**Key words:** Maize, TLB, MLB, BLSB

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the world's third largest grain crop after wheat and rice mainly grown in temperate highlands, tropical as well as in sub-tropical regions. Various pathogenic organisms are responsible for causing widespread losses in maize. Among them, *Rhizoctonia solani* f. sp. *sasakii* causing banded leaf and sheath blight, *Exosporium turcium* causing turcicum leaf blight and *Bipolaris maydis* causing maydis leaf blight are prevalent in maize growing areas of State. TLB initially exhibits small elliptical spots on leaves. These spots turn greenish with age and get bigger in size, finally attaining a spindle shape. MLB produces lesion that are initially small and diamond shaped. These lesions elongate as they mature. BLSB appears on leaves and sheaths of 40-50 days old plant and later on spread to the ears. The affected plant produces large, gray, tan or brown discoloured areas alternating with dark brown bands. Though disease can be managed through chemicals, these are serious threat to soil and human health. Host plant resistance is considered to be most practical, feasible and reliable way to control plant diseases. Therefore, present study was undertaken to identify disease resistance in newly developed inbreds and

their hybrids.

The experimental material consisted of 25 lines, 2 testers, their 50 crosses and two checks viz., Palam Sankar Makka-2 and PSCL 4640 were evaluated in RBD during *Kharif* 2020 against TLB, MLB and BLSB under natural epiphytotic conditions at SAREC, Kangra. Disease rating scale for recording MLB reaction consisted of 9 broad categories designated by numerals 1 to 9 (Balint Kurti *et al.*, 2006; Chung *et al.*, 2010 and Mitiku *et al.*, 2014) and disease rating of TLB was done at dough stage following 1-9 scale (Chung *et al.*, 2010; Mitiku *et al.*, 2014). Disease rating of BLSB was done following modified 1 to 9 scale of AICMIP (1983) and Muis and Quimio (2006). The details of inbred lines, testers and standard checks are presented in Table 1.

Under natural conditions, three crosses viz.,  $L_3 \times T_1$ ,  $L_{24} \times T_2$  and  $L_{25} \times T_1$  were found resistant towards MLB. Among parents, nineteen genotypes were moderately resistant, five genotypes were moderately susceptible and three genotypes were susceptible. Both checks were moderately resistant. Among crosses, five exhibited moderate resistance, thirty nine were moderately susceptible and three were

**Table 1. Details of inbred lines, testers and standard checks**

Symbol/Code	Inbred line	Source/Pedigree
<b>A) Lines</b>		
L <sub>1</sub>	CML 33	ICAR-IIMR, WNC, Hyderabad
L <sub>2</sub>	CML 117	—do—
L <sub>3</sub>	CML 138	—do—
L <sub>4</sub>	CML 139	—do—
L <sub>5</sub>	CML 140	—do—
L <sub>6</sub>	CML 162	—do—
L <sub>7</sub>	CML 163	—do—
L <sub>8</sub>	CML 292	—do—
L <sub>9</sub>	CML 295	—do—
L <sub>10</sub>	CML 338	—do—
L <sub>11</sub>	CML 411	—do—
L <sub>12</sub>	CML 426	—do—
L <sub>13</sub>	CML 439	—do—
L <sub>14</sub>	CML 451	—do—
L <sub>15</sub>	CML 452	—do—
L <sub>16</sub>	CML 494	—do—
L <sub>17</sub>	CM 212	VPKAS, Almora
L <sub>18</sub>	V 335	—do—
L <sub>19</sub>	V 340	—do—
L <sub>20</sub>	V 405	—do—
L <sub>21</sub>	HKI-1040	ICAR-IIMR, Karnal
L <sub>22</sub>	HKI-1105	—do—
L <sub>23</sub>	CM 502	—do—
L <sub>24</sub>	KI-3	CML161/CML165-B-B-B-4-B-B
L <sub>25</sub>	KI-7	CML165-B-B-B-1-B-B
<b>B) Testers</b>		
T <sub>1</sub>	LM 13	PAU, Ludhiana
T <sub>2</sub>	LM 14	—do—
<b>C) Checks</b>		
C <sub>1</sub>	PalamSankar Makka-2	CSKHPKV, Palampur
C <sub>2</sub>	PSCL 4640	Bayers

susceptible (Table 2). Similar results were earlier reported by Omprakash *et al.* (2016). Under natural epiphytotic conditions, genotypes were screened for their resistance towards TLB. Fifteen parents, forty two crosses and both checks were resistant towards TLB. Twelve parents and eight crosses were moderately resistant towards TLB. None of the parent or crosses were susceptible towards TLB (Table 2). Similar results were earlier reported by Nida *et al.* (2018); Razzaq *et al.* (2019). Under natural conditions, genotypes were screened for their

resistance towards banded leaf and sheath blight. Fifteen parents, ten crosses and Palam Sankar Makka-2 was found to be resistant towards BLSB. Eleven parents, thirty eight crosses and PSCL 4640 were showing moderately resistance towards BLSB. Three parents and two crosses were moderately susceptible towards BLSB. None of genotypes were susceptible towards BLSB (Table 2). Similar results were earlier reported by Devi *et al.* (2015); Meena *et al.* (2021). The resistant lines against MLB, TLB and BLSB are a valuable source and can be utilized in resistance

**Table 2. Disease reaction to Maydis leaf blight, Turcicum leaf blight and banded leaf and sheath blight under natural epiphytotic conditions**

Disease Reaction	Disease	Parents	Crosses	Checks
Resistant = 3.0	TLB	L <sub>3</sub> , L <sub>4</sub> , L <sub>5</sub> , L <sub>6</sub> , L <sub>8</sub> , L <sub>9</sub> , L <sub>10</sub> , L <sub>12</sub> , L <sub>15</sub> , L <sub>17</sub> , L <sub>18</sub> , L <sub>19</sub> , L <sub>21</sub> , L <sub>24</sub> , L <sub>25</sub> [15]	L <sub>2</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>2</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>3</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>3</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>4</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>4</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>5</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>5</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>6</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>6</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>7</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>7</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>8</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>8</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>9</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>9</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>10</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>10</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>11</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>11</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>12</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>12</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>14</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>14</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>15</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>15</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>16</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>16</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>17</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>17</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>18</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>18</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>19</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>19</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>20</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>20</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>21</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>21</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>22</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>22</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>23</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>23</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>24</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>24</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>25</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>25</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> [42]	Palam Sankar Makka-2, PSCL 4640
	MLB	-	L <sub>3</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>24</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>25</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> [3]	-
Moderately Resistant 3.1-5.0	BLSB	L <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>3</sub> , L <sub>5</sub> , L <sub>6</sub> , L <sub>11</sub> , L <sub>14</sub> , L <sub>16</sub> , L <sub>19</sub> , L <sub>21</sub> , L <sub>22</sub> , L <sub>23</sub> , L <sub>24</sub> , L <sub>25</sub> [14]	L <sub>3</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>4</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>5</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>6</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>7</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>13</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>17</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>20</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>24</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>25</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>25</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> [10]	Palam Sankar Makka-2
	TLB	L <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>7</sub> , L <sub>11</sub> , L <sub>13</sub> , L <sub>14</sub> , L <sub>16</sub> , L <sub>20</sub> , L <sub>22</sub> , L <sub>23</sub> , T <sub>1</sub>	L <sub>1</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>1</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>3</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>13</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>13</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>14</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>22</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>23</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> [8]	-
Moderately Susceptible 5.1-7.0	MLB	L <sub>3</sub> , L <sub>4</sub> , L <sub>5</sub> , L <sub>6</sub> , L <sub>8</sub> , L <sub>9</sub> , L <sub>10</sub> , L <sub>12</sub> , L <sub>13</sub> , L <sub>14</sub> , L <sub>16</sub> , L <sub>17</sub> , L <sub>18</sub> , L <sub>19</sub> , L <sub>21</sub> , L <sub>23</sub> , L <sub>24</sub> , L <sub>25</sub> , T <sub>1</sub> [19]	L <sub>3</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>4</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>5</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>6</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>7</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> [5]	Palam Sankar Makka-2, PSCL 4640
	BLSB	L <sub>4</sub> , L <sub>7</sub> , L <sub>8</sub> , L <sub>9</sub> , L <sub>12</sub> , L <sub>13</sub> , L <sub>15</sub> , L <sub>17</sub> , L <sub>18</sub> , L <sub>20</sub> , L <sub>22</sub> [11]	L <sub>1</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>1</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>2</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>2</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>3</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>4</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>5</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>6</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>7</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>8</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>9</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>10</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>10</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>11</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>11</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>12</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>12</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>13</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>14</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>14</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>15</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>15</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>16</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>16</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>17</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>17</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>18</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>18</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>19</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>19</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>20</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>20</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>21</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>21</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>22</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>22</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>23</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>23</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>24</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>24</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>25</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>25</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> [39]	PSCL 4640
Susceptible > 7.0-9.0	TLB	-	-	-
	MLB	L <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>11</sub> , L <sub>15</sub> , T <sub>2</sub> [5]	L <sub>2</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>2</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>4</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>5</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>6</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>7</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>7</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>8</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>8</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>9</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>9</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>10</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>10</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>11</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>11</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>12</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>12</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>13</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>13</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>14</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>14</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>15</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>15</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>16</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>16</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>17</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>17</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>18</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>18</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>19</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>19</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>20</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>20</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>21</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>21</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>22</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>22</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>23</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>23</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>24</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>24</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>25</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>25</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> [2]	-
Susceptible > 7.0-9.0	TLB	-	-	-
	MLB	L <sub>7</sub> , L <sub>20</sub> , L <sub>22</sub> [3]	L <sub>1</sub> × T <sub>1</sub> , L <sub>1</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> , L <sub>13</sub> × T <sub>2</sub> [3]	-
	BLSB	-	-	-

breeding programmes. The cross combinations can be further evaluated for yield and other characters and released as promising hybrids resistant to TLB and MLB.

**Conflict of Interest:** Authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

### References

- AICMIP. 1983. Techniques of scoring for resistance to diseases in maize. Indian Agriculture Research Institute, New Delhi. P.133
- Balint-Kurti PJ, Krakowsky MD, Jines MP, Robertson LA, Molnár TL, Goodman MM and Holland JB. 2006. Identification of quantitative trait loci for resistance to southern leaf blight and days to anthesis in a maize recombinant inbred line population. *Journal of Phytopathology* **96**: 1067-1071.
- Chung C, Longfellow JM, Walsh EK, Esbroeck GV, Peter and Nelson RJ. 2010. Resistant loci affecting distinct stages of fungal pathogenesis: use of introgression lines for QTL mapping and characterization in the maize *Setosphaeria turcica* pathosystem. *BMC Plant Biology* **10**: 103.
- Devi B, Guleria SK and Thakur BR. 2015. Reaction of maize genotypes against banded leaf and sheath blight. *Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research* **41**(2): 168-171.
- Meena BR, Yerasu SR, Gupta N and Singh J. 2021. Resistance assessment and biochemical response of maize genotypes against *Rhizoctonia solani* f sp *sasakii* causing banded leaf and sheath blight. *Australian Plant Pathology* **50**: 41-49.
- Mitiku M, Eshte Y, Shiferaw W. 2014. Evaluation of maize variety for northern leaf blight (*Trichometesphaeria turcica*) in south Omo zone. *World Journal of Agricultural Research* **2**(5): 237-239.
- Muis A and Quimio AJ. 2006. Biological control of banded leaf and sheath blight disease (*Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn) in corn with formulated *Bacillus subtilis* BR23. *Indonesian Journal of Agriculture Sciences* **7**(1): 1-7.
- Nida Y, Dar SA, Lone AA, Ahanger MA, Dar ZA, Shikari A, Sofi PA, Bhat ZA and Gulzar S. 2018. Field screening of maize (*Zea mays* L.) landraces for resistance against turicum leaf blight (TLB) under temperate conditions. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* **6**(1): 333-337.
- Omprakash, Singh R, Nandan R, Jakhar DS and Chourasia KN. 2016. Screening and genetic studies of certain maize genotypes for resistance to southern corn leaf blight in India. *Journal of Biotechnology and Crop Science* **5**(7): 67-76.
- Razzaq T, Khan MF, Awan SI, Tariq H and Ilyas M. 2019. Screening of maize genotypes under northern corn leaf blight epiphytic at Rawalkot Azad Kashmir. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* **51**(5): 1865-1875.